

Homework 1

13. No

We prove it by Contradiction.

Suppose it is a vector field.

Then, there exists $\vec{0} \in V$, s.t.,

$$(a_1, a_2) + \vec{0} = (a_1, a_2), \quad \forall (a_1, a_2) \in V.$$

Then, we must have $\vec{0} = (0, 1)$.

However, $\forall (b_1, b_2) \in V$,

$$(0, 0) + (b_1, b_2) = (b_1, 0) \neq \vec{0} = (0, 1),$$

which is a contradiction.

Hence, V is not a vector field.

21. Since V and W are vector spaces,

Z is a vector space by direct checking 8 axioms.

11. No.

Take $n=2$,

$$f_1(x) = -x + x^2$$

$$f_2(x) = -x - x^2$$

$$f_1(x) + f_2(x) = -2x$$

$$\text{So, } \dim(f_1 + f_2) = 1 \neq 2$$

19. " \Leftarrow " part:

$$\text{Note that } W_1 \cup W_2 = \begin{cases} W_1 & \text{if } W_2 \subseteq W_1 \\ W_2 & \text{if } W_1 \subseteq W_2 \end{cases}$$

Hence, $W_1 \cup W_2$ is a subspace of V .

" \Rightarrow " part:

We prove it by contradiction.

Suppose $W_1 \not\subseteq W_2$ and $W_2 \not\subseteq W_1$.

Then, we can find \vec{v} , s.t., $v \in W_1, v \notin W_2$.

and \vec{u} , s.t., $\vec{u} \notin W_1, \vec{u} \in W_2$

Then, without loss of generality, suppose $(\vec{u} + \vec{v}) \in W_1$.

Then we have $\vec{u} = (\vec{u} + \vec{v}) + (-\vec{v}) \in W_1$,
which is a contradiction.

31. (a). " \Rightarrow " part:

Since $v + W$ is a subspace of V ,

$$\vec{0} \in v + W.$$

Hence, $v + w = \vec{0}$ for some $w \in W$

$$\Rightarrow w = -v \Rightarrow v \in W.$$

" \Leftarrow " part:

if $v \in W$, clearly $v + W \subseteq W$.

Also, for any $w \in W$,

$$w = v + (-v + w) \in v + W.$$

$$\text{Hence, } v + W = W$$

$\Rightarrow v + W$ is a subspace of V .

(b) " \Rightarrow " part:

$$\text{Since } v_1 + W = v_2 + W,$$

$$v_1 + \vec{0} = v_1 \in v_2 + W$$

$$\Rightarrow v_1 = v_2 + w \text{ for some } w \in W$$

$$\Rightarrow v_1 - v_2 = w$$

$$\Rightarrow v_1 - v_2 \in W$$

" \Leftarrow " part:

for any $w \in W$,

$$\text{we have } v_1 + w = v_2 + (v_1 - v_2 + w)$$

$$\Rightarrow v_1 + W = v_2 + W.$$

(c) Note that for any $w_1, w_2 \in W$,

$$(v_1 + w_1) + (v_2 + w_2) = (v_1' + (v_1 - v_1' + w_1)) + (v_2' + (v_2 - v_2' + w_2))$$

$$\Rightarrow (v_1 + W) + (v_2 + W) = (v_1' + W) + (v_2' + W).$$

Also, for any $w \in W$, $a \in \mathbb{F}$.

$$a(v_1 + w) = a(v_1' + (v_1 - v_1' + w))$$

$$\Rightarrow a(v_1 + W) = a(v_1' + W).$$

$$(d) \cdot (v_1 + W) + (v_2 + W) = (v_1 + v_2) + W = (v_2 + W) + (v_1 + W)$$

$$\cdot \left((v_1 + W) + (v_2 + W) \right) + (v_3 + W)$$

$$= \left((v_1 + v_2) + W \right) + (v_3 + W)$$

$$= (v_1 + v_2 + v_3) + W$$

$$= (v_1 + W) + \left((v_2 + v_3) + W \right)$$

$$= (v_1 + W) + \left((v_2 + W) + (v_3 + W) \right)$$

$$\cdot \text{for any } v \in V,$$

$$(v + W) + (0 + W) = (v + 0) + W = v + W$$

$$\cdot \forall v \in V,$$

$$(v + W) + (-v + W) = 0 + W$$

$$\cdot 1(v + W) = 1v + W = v + W$$

$$\cdot (ab)(v + W) = (ab)v + W = a(bv) + W = a(bv + W) = a(bv + W)$$

$$\cdot a \left((v + W) + (u + W) \right) = a \left((u + v) + W \right) = (au + av) + W$$

$$= (au + W) + (av + W) = a(u + W) + a(v + W)$$

$$\cdot (a+b)(v + W) = (a+b)v + W$$

$$= (av + bv) + W$$

$$= (av + W) + (bv + W)$$

$$= a(v + W) + b(v + W)$$

Hence, V/W is a vector space.

10. Let $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix}$ be an arbitrary symmetric 2×2 matrix,
where $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$\text{We see, } \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} = a \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + b \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + c \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

14. • Suppose $u_1, \dots, u_n \in S_1$; $v_1, \dots, v_m \in S_2$,

$$a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{F}; \quad b_1, \dots, b_m \in \mathbb{F}.$$

Then, $a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_n u_n + b_1 v_1 + \dots + b_m v_m \in \text{span}\{S_1 \cup S_2\}$

since $u_1, \dots, u_n \in S_1 \cup S_2$, $v_1, \dots, v_m \in S_1 \cup S_2$.

$$\Rightarrow \text{span}(S_1) + \text{span}(S_2) = \text{span}(S_1 + S_2)$$

• Suppose $w_1, \dots, w_n \in S_1 \cup S_2$; $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{F}$.

Then, we can reorder w_1, \dots, w_n to be

$$w_{i_1}, \dots, w_{i_k}, w_{i_{k+1}}, \dots, w_{i_n}, \text{ s.t.},$$

$$w_{i_1}, \dots, w_{i_k} \in S_1, \quad w_{i_{k+1}}, \dots, w_{i_n} \in S_2.$$

$$\text{So, } c_1 w_1 + \dots + c_n w_n$$

$$= (c_{i_1} w_{i_1} + \dots + c_{i_k} w_{i_k}) + (c_{i_{k+1}} w_{i_{k+1}} + \dots + c_{i_n} w_{i_n})$$

$$\text{Hence, } \text{span}(S_1 \cup S_2) = \text{span}(S_1) + \text{span}(S_2)$$

15. • Let $v_1, \dots, v_n \in S_1 \cap S_2$, $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{F}$.

Then, $a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_n v_n \in \text{span}(S_1)$ since $v_1, \dots, v_n \in S_1$,

$a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_n v_n \in \text{span}(S_2)$ since $v_1, \dots, v_n \in S_2$.

$$\Rightarrow \text{span}(S_1 \cap S_2) \subseteq \text{span}(S_1) \cap \text{span}(S_2)$$

• Let $V = \mathbb{R}^2$.

$$S_1 = \{(1, 0), (0, 1)\}, \quad S_2 = \{(1, 0)\}.$$

$$\text{Then, } \text{span}(S_1 \cap S_2) = \text{span}(\{(1, 0)\}) = \text{span}(S_2)$$

$$\text{span}(S_1) = \mathbb{R}^2.$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{span}(S_1 \cap S_2) = \text{span}(S_1) \cap \text{span}(S_2)$$

• Let $V = \mathbb{R}^2$.

$$S_1 = \{(1, 0)\}, \quad S_2 = \{(2, 0)\}$$

$$\text{Then, } S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset, \quad \text{span}(S_1 \cap S_2) = \emptyset.$$

$$\text{But, } \text{span}(S_1) \cap \text{span}(S_2) = \text{span}(\{(1, 0)\}).$$

15. " \Leftarrow " part is clearly true by definition

" \Rightarrow " part:

Suppose $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ is linearly dependent.

Then, $a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_n u_n = 0$ for some $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \neq 0$.

Let k be the largest integer in $\{1, \dots, n\}$

satisfying $a_k \neq 0$.

If $k=1$, then we have $u_1=0$.

If $k > 1$, then we have $a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_k u_k = 0$

$$\Rightarrow a_k u_k = -(a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_{k-1} u_{k-1})$$

$$\Rightarrow u_k = -\frac{a_1}{a_k} u_1 - \frac{a_2}{a_k} u_2 - \dots - \frac{a_{k-1}}{a_k} u_{k-1}$$

This finishes the proof of " \Rightarrow " part.

18. Arbitrarily choose $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\} \in S$.

Without loss of generality,

suppose $\deg(p_1) < \deg(p_2) < \dots < \deg(p_n)$.

Suppose $a_1 p_1 + \dots + a_n p_n = 0$ for some $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{F}$.

We see the coefficient of the term $x^{\deg(p_n)}$

is a_n because $\deg(p_1) < \dots < \deg(p_{n-1}) < \deg(p_n)$.

So, $a_n = 0$ by $a_1 p_1 + \dots + a_n p_n = 0$.

Then, $a_1 p_1 + \dots + a_n p_n = a_1 p_1 + \dots + a_{n-1} p_{n-1}$.

Next, observe that the coefficient of the term $x^{\deg(p_{n-1})}$

is a_{n-1} .

So, $a_{n-1} = 0$ by $a_1 p_1 + \dots + a_{n-1} p_{n-1} = 0$.

Continue this process, we see $a_1 = \dots = a_n = 0$.

Hence, S is linearly independent.